

To: Flanagan, Sarah[Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov]
Cc: Arnold, Adam C.[AArnold@gibbonslaw.com]
From: Hatfield, William S.
Sent: Mon 5/2/2016 10:08:43 PM
Subject: Re: Diamond Alkali - Dioxin Investigation

Hi Sarah:

Thanks for following up. We should have a response to you by the end of the week.

Regards,

Bill

William S. Hatfield

Director Real Property & Environmental

Gibbons P.C.

One Gateway Center, Newark, NJ 07102

Direct: (973) 596-4511

Fax: (973) 639-8320

whatfield@gibbonslaw.com



Disclaimer

The contents of this message, together with any attachments, may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, printing, or copying of this message, or any attachment, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately by reply e-mail or call the Gibbons P.C. Help Desk at 973-596-4900 (e-mail: helpdesk@gibbonslaw.com) and delete this message, along with any attachments, from your computer.

On May 2, 2016, at 6:04 PM, Flanagan, Sarah <Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov> wrote:

Bill and Adam – Just following up to see if you have a response to the questions below.

Thanks.

-Sarah

Sarah P. Flanagan
Office of Regional Counsel, NJ Superfund Branch
USEPA, Region 2
290 Broadway, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Tel: 212-637-3136

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review of, reliance on, or distribution by others or forwarding without the express permission of the sender is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: Flanagan, Sarah
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2016 3:50 PM
To: William Hatfield <whatfield@gibbonslaw.com>; 'Arnold, Adam C.' <AAArnold@gibbonslaw.com>
Subject: Diamond Alkali - Dioxin Investigation

Bill and Adam,

After looking at your data and presentation, EPA has several follow-up questions.

1. How were the co-eluted furans handled?
2. How were the non-detect samples handled?
3. Are we correct in thinking that two chemicals (2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF and 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF) are switched on different plots? For example, on page 12 the pink line that

represents “Clifton Containment Cell (n=20)” has a little peak at 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF, but on slide 8, that peak corresponds to 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF, not 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF.

Finally, we also would like to confirm that, as discussed on March 10, 2016, the Clifton containment cell was resealed subsequent to the August 2015 sampling event, and that Givaudan has no concerns with EPA’s post-sampling repairs to the containment cell as described in the report by Denis Newcomer, LSRP, of AMO Environmental Decisions, dated September 22, 2015.

Thanks

-Sarah

Sarah P. Flanagan
Office of Regional Counsel, NJ Superfund Branch
USEPA, Region 2
290 Broadway, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Tel: 212-637-3136

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review of, reliance on, or distribution by others or forwarding without the express permission of the sender is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.